Weak scaling results for AIFS on Leonardo and MareNostrum5 (MN5) on up to 512 nodes (4 GPUs per node). Plotting efficiency ( $E =$  $T_1$  $\overline{T}_N$ ) instead of runtime allows us to compare differences at the interconnect level, rather than at a single node level. MN5 begins to scale better than Leonardo after 32 nodes. This is likely because MN5 has an 800Gbit/s interconnect compared to the 400Gbit/s interconnect of Leonardo.



#### AIFS weak scaling efficiency - 1024c n320 1model/node

*AIFS weak scaling efficiency on Leonardo and MareNostrum5 (N320, GNN backend,*  1024 channels per model, 1 model per node, 200 training steps, 100 validation *steps, 3 epochs per run, median of 3 runs). Dataset was replicated across models.*

# **Optimising the data parallelism of ECMWFs AIFS model**

## Cathal O'Brien, ECMWF

#### **Introduction**

#### **Scaling AIFS to 100s of nodes**

## **Finetuning PyTorch.distributed**

### **Acknowledgements**

Thanks to Ana Prieto Nemesio (ECMWF) for her work on the AIFS profiler which was used to collect these results

Data parallelism is a popular way to scale deep learning models across many GPUs. The model is replicated across GPUs and each model receives a unique chunk of the dataset. Model gradients are combined during the backward pass using all-reduce communication operations.

This poster presents work done optimizing the data parallelism of ECMWFs AIFS model. Additionally, large-scale runs on up to thousands of GPUs are shown on Leonardo and MareNostrum5.

AIFS uses the "PyTorch distributed" package to scale across multiple nodes. This has a number of presets which can be tweaked depending on the machine and model you're using.

## **Bucket size**

As gradients are computed, they are stored in buckets of a given size (default 25mb). Filled buckets are synchronized across models with an all-reduce operation. This all-reduce happens asynchronously, leading to overlap of communication and gradient computation. If network latency is a bottleneck, bucket size can be increased. This will decrease the number of all-reduction operations, at the cost of reducing the amount of overlapped computation and communication.



*20 training steps of an 8 node distributed run on Leonardo (GNN model, n320 res, 1 model per node, 1024 channels per model), with different bucket sizes.* 

## **Broadcast buffers**

By default, PyTorch distributed will synchronize buffers across all ranks by broadcasting the buffers of rank 0 at the beginning of each step. Certain layers like BatchNorm require this synchronization. AIFS does not have any such layers so BroadcastBuffers can be



*WMSE error over 1000 training steps of an 8 node training run on Leonardo (GNN model, n320 res, 1 model per node, 1024 channels per model, same seed). WMSE is almost equal, suggesting broadcasting the buffers is unnecessary.*

#### **Gradient Compression**

If network bandwidth is a bottleneck, "PyTorch distributed" offers gradient compression to alleviate this. The PowerSGD algorithm offers a 100x compression factor.

PowerSGD led to a 40% increase in runtime for an 8 node AIFS training run, due to:

- 1. PowerSGD requiring 2x the number of all-reduce calls.
- 2. Due to algorithmic constraints, overlapping is not possible
- 3. and the more efficient ring all-reduce algorithm can't be used.

(Zhang et al, 2023) suggests a modified PowerSGD algorithm to

alleviate these issues, but this is not integrated into PyTorch.

